INTRODUCTION

Betel chewing is the number two biggest addiction in the world after smoking. This is mostly done by people living in the South Asian region, Southeast Asia, China, Pacific Islands, and several other countries in the world. The people who betel chewing will cause addiction because betel chewing can cause feelings of comfort (Euphoria) and eliminate headaches [1-3].

Betel chewing has advantages and disadvantages. The advantages of this are to prevent infectious diseases, prevent worm disease, increase immune system (immunomodulatory), eliminate bad breath, prevent toothache, cleanse the mouth and teeth, strengthen teeth, improve appetite, improve digestion, eliminate headaches, treat joint pain, prevent diabetes, eliminate constipation, cure inflammation, wound healing, as an aphrodisiac, and others [2-5]. The disadvantage of betel chewing is that it can cause oral cancer, this happens when people who betel chewing add young areca fruit. Because young areca fruit contains alkaloids; arecoline, guvacoline, guvacine, and arecaidine, where these alkaloids in the body can form derivatives of nitrosamine compounds that are carcinogenic [1,3,6,7].

On the other hand, based on research of Ramya and Anuradha (2015), on 25 betel chewers, male patients (aging ≥45 years) compared to non-chewers when chewing betel added with tobacco, obtained an increase in the level of plasma glucose, serum enzymes such as alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase, creatinine, cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein, serum cholesterol, and triglycerides urea and the protein level were decreased [7] so that the disadvantage of betel chewing can be avoided, in this study, we did not use young areca fruit and tobacco. Therefore, this research was to find solutions to people who betel chewing, where they get the advantage to their health and avoid disadvantage to their health.

The number of people who betel chewing the biggest in the world is in India. It was estimated that around 170–440 million Indian residents and in the world around 550–700 million are betel chewing; therefore, there are several terms for betel chewing in India, namely, pan masala (young areca fruit, slaked lime, gambier, and other mixtures), gutka (pan masala plus tobacco), mainpuri (tobacco, young areca fruit, slaked lime, camphor, and cloves), mawa (young areca fruit, tobacco, and slaked lime), and a mixture of tobacco with slaked lime called khaini [8,10].

Research in India conducted at Tata Memorial Hospital showed that 28–30% had been diagnosed with oral submucous fibrosis due to betel chewing more than 12 times a day [7].

As we know, infectious diseases are still a major problem in the health sector in the world, with the increasing number of resistant antibiotics from time to time, so for the next few years, the morbidity and mortality rate of the world population due to infectious diseases will increase sharply, to prevent the increase of infectious diseases, it is necessary to prevent this problem. Therefore, the role of immunomodulatory drugs will be increasingly important [10].

Actually, the body has a special system to eradicate various infectious and toxic substances. Immune system cells work together with an organized division of labor to deal with various threats to the body. This system consists of blood leukocytes and tissue cells derived from
leukocytes. Immune system cells work together in two ways to counter various threats to the body, namely, through the process of phagocytosis and forming antibodies. Both of these systems can work individually or work together. However, the body’s immune system, both specific and non-specific, or the system of cooperation between the body’s immune system can decrease; therefore, immunomodulatory drugs are needed [11,12].

Immunomodulators are both natural and synthetic substances that can help regulate the immune system balance. Immunomodulators work to affect or maintain the body’s defense system. If a person has an immune system deficiency, immunomodulators will work by stimulating (immunostimulatory) the body’s immune system, whereas in people with excessive immune reactions, immunomodulators work by suppressing or normalizing (immunosuppressants) the body’s immune system, therefore, immunomodulators work to optimize the body’s defenses so that it indirectly has overcome or reduced various pathological conditions or other health problems due to not optimal body defense systems including infectious diseases, allergies, cancer, benign, or malignant neoplasms [11,12].

Immunomodulatory class drugs work in 3 ways, namely, through [13,14,15,16]:
1. Immunorestoration is an effort to improve the immune system which is disrupted by giving the immune system components, for example, giving immunoglobulins, blood plasma, bone marrow transplants, and others.
2. Immunostimulation is an effort to improve the compromised immune system by stimulating the immune system, for example, by administering hormone extracts from the thymus gland, lymphokines, interferon, levanoside, methisprinol, muramyl dipeptide, and others.
3. Immunosuppression is an effort to suppress the immune response, such as steroid administration. This is often applied to people who experience organ transplants coming from other people to overcome rejection reactions from the body.

Synthetic immunomodulatory drugs besides expensive also have many side effects. While immunomodulatory drugs from natural products, such as Echinacea purpurea, Phyllanthus niruri, and Maitake mushroom (Grifola frondosa) are more suitable to prevent upper tract infections and certain diseases. Therefore, it is hoped that the discovery of immunomodulatory drugs from natural products with different effects of pharmacology with E. purpurea, P. niruri, G. frondosa, etc. [10,11,13,14,15].

On the other hand, the phosphatase enzyme is playing a role in increasing the performance of the activity and phagocytic capacity of macrophage cells; therefore, to determine the work activity of the immunomodulatory compound, it is necessary to determine the level of the enzyme phosphatase due to the work activity of the immunomodulatory compound [15,16].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials
Betel leaf was obtained from Research Institute for Spices and Medicinal Plants (Balitro), Bogor. While gambier was obtained from Payakumbuh (West Sumatra), the largest gambier-producing region in the world, determination of plant authentication was carried out in Biology Research Center, Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Bogor, Indonesia.

Preparation of a mixture of betel leaf extract and gambier powder
Betel leaf and gambier were made into powder, then 429 g of betel leaf powder and 71 g of gambier powder were added with water up to volume 1 L, stirred in a blender; then, the mixture was filtered with Whatman paper No. IV and dried with a freeze dryer and calculated the yield was obtained [18].

Preparation of experimental animals
Swiss albino mice (weight ranges from 25 to 30 g) were obtained from Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Bogor Agricultural Institute. The mice were acclimatized for 9 days and maintained on 12 h light, 12 h dark cycle on temperature 25°C. The mice were given standard diet and water ad libitum and kept under standard conditions in animal house based on norms of Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision on Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA, 2003) [19].

Administration of test preparation on experimental animals
Administration of test preparation on experimental animals is shown in Table 1 [18,19].

Preparation of bacterial suspension
Staphylococcus epidermidis was obtained from Microbiology Laboratory, Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta. The stock of these bacteria was kept in nutrient agar and then inoculated into the broth medium, incubation at shaker incubator with speed 120 rpm, temperature 30°C, for 24 h until reach the active phase. Adjust the amount of bacteria using spectrophotometer ultraviolet (UV) visible ±109 cfu/ml (T=25%, λ=580 nm) [18,20,21].

Administration of test preparation on experimental animals
Administration of test preparations to experimental animals was based on the dosage written in Table 1.

Administration of test preparation was done once daily as long as 2 weeks. On the 15th day, 0.5 ml (109 cfu/ml) was injected intraperitoneally, 1 h after S. epidermidis was injected, experimental animals was dissected on his stomach and into the peritoneal cavity was injected 1 ml of buffered phosphate saline solution and the peritoneal fluid was taken to be used in calculating the activity and capacity of phagocytosis of mice [18,20,21].

Preparation of glass slides for analysis activity and capacity of phagocytosis
Take 100 μl peritoneal liquid and place it on a glass object and fix it with absolute mohol for 5 min, then do the staining with Giemsa 4%, after 45 min dip it in 0.1 M acetic acid and wash with distilled water, then dry and observe under a light microscope [18,20,21].

Determination of macrophage phagocytosis activity and capacity
Determination of activity and capacity of macrophages was conducted with calculate the amount of macrophages that carried out phagocytosis activity of 100 macrophages against a number of S. epidermidis. Phagocytosis activities calculation was performed 3 times from different slides for one experimental animal.

Determination of value phagocytosis capacity of macrophages was conducted with calculate the amount 50 of macrophages that carried out phagocytosis activity of 100 macrophages against a number of S. epidermidis. Phagocytosis activities calculation was performed 3 times from different slides for one experimental animal [18,20,21].

Determination of value phagocytosis capacity of macrophages was calculated with formula 3 times from different slides for one experimental animal [18,20,21].

Table 1: Administration of test preparation on experimental animals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Name of group</th>
<th>Doses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Small dose test preparation</td>
<td>100 mg/kg BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Medium dose test preparation</td>
<td>200 mg/kg BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Large dose test preparation</td>
<td>400 mg/kg BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Normal control</td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>CMC 0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Positive control 1</td>
<td>Echinacea syrup was given 154.3 mg/kg BW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Positive control 2</td>
<td>Phyllanthus niruri syrup was given 154.3 mg/kg BW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Positive control 1 is Echinacea syrup, Positive control 2 is Phyllanthus niruri syrup. Both of these positive controls are immunomodulatory drugs purchased from the drug store. BW: Body weight.
Measurement of the acid phosphatase enzyme

On the measurement of the acid phosphatase enzyme, as a standard solution was used a 4-nitrophenolate solution, measurement of the acid phosphatase enzyme level was done using a spectrophotometer UV visible on $\lambda=405\text{ nm}$ [20-22]. The reaction process that occurs is shown in Fig. 1 [22-23].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the authentication for plants taxonomy that was done by Herbarium Bogoriense, Biological Research Center, Indonesian Institute of Sciences, indicating that the plant used for this research were *Piper betle* Linn. and *Uncaria gambir* Roxb.

The yield of betel chewing extract that was made with ratio betel and gambier (421:71) was obtained 9.92% dry extract. Determination of this ratio was based on the habits of people who eat chewing betel.

As shown in Fig. 2, for phagocytosis activity, the results of statistical tests showed a significant difference ($p<0.05$) between the increase in phagocytic activity by a mixture of betel and gambier extracts as well as positive control A and positive control B compared to normal control and negative control, whereas for low-dose and high-dose test preparations, there was no significant difference ($p>0.05$) in positive control A and positive control B. However, the medium dose test preparation was significantly different from positive control A ($p<0.05$).

As shown in Fig. 3, for phagocytosis capacity, the results of statistical tests showed a significant difference ($p<0.05$) between the increase in phagocytic activity by a mixture of betel and gambier extracts as well as positive control A and positive control B compared to normal control and negative control, whereas for low-dose and medium-dose test preparations, there was no significant difference ($p>0.05$) in positive control A and positive control B. However, the high-dose test preparation was significantly different from positive control A and positive control B ($p<0.05$).

As shown in Fig. 4, for the effect of the test preparation on the concentration of the phosphatase enzyme, the results of statistical tests showed a significant difference ($p<0.05$) between mixtures of betel and gambier extracts as well as positive control A compared to normal control and negative control, whereas for low-dose and medium-dose test preparations, there was no significant difference ($p>0.05$) in positive control A and positive control B compared to normal control and negative control, whereas for low-dose and medium-dose test preparations, there was no significant difference ($p>0.05$) in positive control A. However, the high-dose test preparation was significantly different from positive control A and positive control B ($p<0.05$).

According to Domingues *et al.* (2011), chemical compounds of gambier work to trigger an immunomodulation toward a Th2 cytokine profile, in this study, also were occurred at doses of 125 mg/kg body weight (BW) work as stimulant and at a dose of 500 mg work as immunosuppression [24].

On the other hand, according to Labro (2000) in this condition, large doses will cause interference with the immune system or certain damage to macrophage cells because there is a working relationship between...
macrophage cells not only used as bacterial phagocytosis and foreign objects in the body but macrophage cells also release several chemical mediators to interact with each other between the immune system in the body; the mechanism of the immune system is disrupted, causing phagocytic activity and capacity to be disrupted. However, if the dosage is appropriate, macrophage cells will work together with other parts of the body’s antibody system to optimize the activity and capacity of phagocytosis. From the system, means that in this case at a dose of 200 mg/kg BW, the activity and capacity of macrophage cells have reached optimal conditions [12].

As is known, that betel and gambier have antibacterial activity. Betel leaf has been known as an antibacterial against several pathogenic bacteria, namely, Candida albicans, Diplococcus pneumoniae, Proteus mirabilis, Proteus vulgaris, Klebsiella aerogenes, Salmonella typhimurium, Shigella flexneri, Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus mutans, Staphylococcus faecalis, and Vibrio choleras [26,27].

Gambier has been known to work as an antibacterial against pathogenic bacteria, namely, Escherichia coli, Bacillus subtilis, Proteus aeruginosa, S. flexneri, S. aureus, S. epidermidis, P. vulgaris, and P. mirabilis [24,25].

Increasing the concentration of phosphatase enzymes was higher in the extract mixture of betel and gambier than in positive control 1 containing Echinacea and positive control 2 containing P. niruri, in this case it is in accordance with Labro opinion, that plants that work as immunomodulators and antibacterials are better at preventing bacterial growth and immunomodulators than plants that only work as immunomodulators and plants that only work as antibacterials, because of the work of synergies between immunomodulator compounds with antibacterial compounds [12].

CONCLUSION

The results of this study indicate that a mixture water extracts of betel leaf and gambier have excellent immunomodulatory effects in increasing the work of antibodies in the body of mice. In this case, the medium doses (200 mg/kg BW) have the best effect compared to low doses (100 mg/kg BW) and high doses (400 mg/kg BW).
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